

Agenda item:

Regulatory Committee

On 21st February 2011

[No.]

Report Title. Planning Enforcement Update- Three Quarterly Report 2011-12				
Report of Director of Place and Sustainability				
Signed :				
Contact Officer : Marc Dorfman, Assistant Director, Planning and Regeneration Telephone 020 8489 5538				
Wards(s) affected: All	Report for: Non-Key Decision			

1. Purpose of the report

1.1. To inform Members on Planning Enforcement's progress in maintaining service delivery 2011/12.

2. State link(s) with Council Plan Priorities and actions and /or other Strategies:

- 2.1. Enforcement of planning control plays a role in delivering policy objectives of the Council's Unitary Development Plan and the future Local Development Framework
- 2.2. The Council's Enforcement Strategy has an explicit objective to prevent unauthorised use and non permitted development and seek to reverse this when it occurs taking formal enforcement action when expedient to do so.

3. Recommendation

3.1. That member's note the first three quarters performance for 2011/12, April-December 2011 inclusive.

4. Reason for recommendation

4.1. Good progress continues with maintaining the number of open cases at a manageable level, which were 293 at 1st January 2012. The year to date has seen a high degree of formal enforcement activity with 66 Enforcement Notices served and 37 Appeals against Enforcement Notices lodged

5. Other options considered

5.1. Not applicable

6. Summary

6.1. This report advises members on service performance for the first three quarters of 2011/12 and the teams' incorporation into Development Management within the Planning regeneration and Economy Business Unit from 16 May 2011.

7. Chief Financial Officer Comments

7.1Planning Enforcement now forms part of the Planning, Regeneration and Economy business unit within Place and Sustainability and the staffing budget for the posts in this team is £193,100. The costs of preparing this report have been contained within existing budgets.

8. Head of Legal Services Comments

8.1 The Head of Legal Services notes the contents of this report

9. Equalities & Community Cohesion Comments

9.1 There are no equalities, and community cohesion issues raised by this report as it updates members on Planning Enforcement's performance April-December 2011 inclusive.

10. Consultation

10.1 The report identifies steps to consult service users.

11. Service Financial Comments

11.1 The service will continue to ensure that Planning Enforcement remains within budget. The Team Currently has three Planning Officers and a Team Leader. Increase in the number of enforcement cases in the last half year has been in part due to the reduction in staff numbers but also the undertaking of formal enforcement action for a large number of cases especially with the lodgement of an unusually high number of appeals against enforcement notices.

12. Use of appendices

Appendix 1 - The number of open cases by the year received

Appendix 2 – April-Dec 2011 Performance indicators

Appendix 3 - April-Dec 2011 Outcomes of Planning Enforcement Closed Cases

Appendix 4 – Table showing planning enforcement prosecution & caution outcomes

13. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

13.1 Case files held by the Team Leader for Planning Enforcement

14. Planning Enforcement Performance

- 14.1 Appendix 1 provides a table showing cases still open by the year the case was opened. Our current caseload is 293. These include 193 cases received in 2010/11 which remain open. 48 cases received from before April 2010 and 100 from before April 2011 remain open maintaining the relatively low level of older cases. 6 cases opened before 2007 remain open and non compliant. All of these cases are at an advanced stage and actions against these are ongoing. The overall caseload remain manageable as they have done since 2009-10. The sustained caseload management is encouraging especially given the loss of 1.5 members of staff, a subsequent increase in the overall workload for existing staff and the very high degree of formal enforcement action and appeal work undertaken in the first three-quarters of 2011-12.
- 14.2 Appendix 2 deals with Planning Enforcement's performance indicators. Performance remains broadly consistent across the suite of indicators. There has been a slight decline in the proportion of cases resolved within 8 week and 6 month. However this is largely explained by the resolution of a significant number of older cases which lies

outside of the 6 month bracket and the recent concentration on formal enforcement action.

- 14.3 Customer feedback response remained very low and did not provide any real insight into general perception by service users. It is considered necessary to discuss with Service Management how the response rate could be improved going forward.
- 14.4 Appendix 3 is a table of closed cases in the first three guarter of 2011/12 by outcomes. Of the cases closed 55% were due to no breach, or those allowed under permitted development rights. Of the cases closed, only 7% was due to immunity from enforcement action. It is worth noting that this is less than half the proportion that was typically reported three years ago. It is suggested that the huge reduction in backlog cases and the improvements made with regard to background investigations prior to opening cases through liaising with other service providers and sharing of information have played a significant part in this reduction.
- 14.5 In 18% of the cases closed, it was considered that enforcement action was not expedient, a figure which and been broadly consistent over the last two years and 20% were closed as a result of compliance, remediation or regularisation of the development including formal enforcement action maintaining the quarter figure.
- 14.5 Appendix 4 is a table of planning enforcement prosecution and caution outcomes. As shown on this table, good process through prosecution cases has been made. During the three guarters of 2011-12. The total fines accrued for convictions was £37,400 and the total costs awarded to the Council was £6.331. Costs recovered by the Council when defendants accepted simple cautions in lieu of prosecution was £11,412.

Other matters

- 14.6 During the 2009-2010 Development Management undertook a process of revoking Established Use Certificates at several properties where it was found that the evidence submitted which led to their issue was false. Enforcement Action was taken in the autumn of 2010 and the following progress has been made:
- 10 Hampden Lane N17 Prosecuted and convicted. Notice now complied with
- 69 Roseberry Gardens

Enforcement Appeal withdrawn. Notice complied with

- 13 Harringay Gardens
- 82 Warham Road •

49 Warham Road

Enforcement Appeal withdrawn. Notice now in breach Enforcement Appeal allowed. Case closed Enforcement Appeal withdrawn. Notice now complied with

14.7 It is worth noting that the decision to revoke has been upheld on all of the above. 82 Warham Road was allowed due to material planning considerations outweighing development plan policy. The grounds of challenge which if successful would undermine the decision to revoke the Certificates have been upheld on each appeal or the appeal has been withdrawn. The Planning Inspectorate has awarded costs against the appellant for this appeal and at 13 Harringay Gardens with a further cost application outstanding for 49 Warham Road.

Year	No. cases opened for investigation	No. of cases remaining open
2001/2002	401	0
2002/2003	782	0
2003/2004	881	0
sub total 2001/2 - 2003/4	2064	0
2004/2005	899	1
2005/2006	941	4
2006/2007	687	1
sub total 2004/5- 2006/7	2527	6*
2007/2008	914	2
2008/2009	1052	17
sub total 2007/8 - 2008/9	1966	19
2009-2010	878	23
2010-2011	760	52
2011-2012 (up to 30.12.11)	328	193
Total for all year	8535	293

Appendix 1 – Table demonstrating Planning Enforcement Caseload

*Of the 6 open cases pre 2007

- 1 warrant case
- 2 both 2nd convictions secured against the same owner
- 1 cases referred to Crown Court for confiscation proceeding under Proceedings of Crime Act (POCA) (2 further cases also referred). Outcome anticipated by Spring 2012
- 2 appeals against re-issued Enforcement Notices- Public Inquiries held in February 2012

It should be noted that all of the above cases deal with unauthorised conversion of houses into multiple self-contained units. Underlining that both the enforcement of and achieving compliance with regard to such breaches can be a lengthy and resource intensive process.

Appendix 2 Table indicating Performance indicators for Planning Enforcement April-Dec 11

Table of performance indicators					
Performance Indicator Number	Performance Indicator description	Performance Indicator target	Performance Output April June 2011		
ENF PLAN 1	Successful resolution of a case after 8 weeks	40%	41% (197 from 488 cases closed)		
ENF PLAN 3	Customer satisfaction with the service received	To be determined	10% of closed cases to be contacted by the service manager		
ENF PLAN 4	Cases closed within target time of 6 months	80%	77% (370 out of 488 cases closed)		
ENF PLAN 5	Cases acknowledged within 3 working days	90%	93% (521 out of 563 cases)		
ENF PLAN 6	Planning Enforcement Initial site inspections 3, 10, 15 working days	90%	94% (489from 521 cases initial visit within the time period)		
Performance Indicator Number	Performance Indicator description	Performance output April – June 2011			
ENF PLAN 7	Number of Planning Contravention Notices served	70			
ENF PLAN 8	Number of Enforcement Notices Served	66			
ENF PLAN 9	Number of enforcement notices appealed	37			
ENF PLAN 10	Number of enforcement notices withdrawn by Council	6			
ENF PLAN 10a	Number of Enforcement Appeals Allowed	2			
ENF PLAN 10b	Number of Withdrawn Appeals	2			
ENF PLAN 11	Number of prosecutions for non- compliance with enforcement notice	14			
ENF PLAN 12	Number of Notices (Other) served	3			

Appendix 3 – Table showing Outcomes of Planning Enforcement Closed Cases April-December 11

Closure reason	Output April-Sept 2011
No breach/Permitted Development	268 (55%)
Not expedient	88 (18%)
Compliance/ Remediation/Regularisation	99 (20%)
Immune from enforcement action	33 (7%)
Total	488

Appendix 6: Prosecutions and Outcomes April-December 11

	Diant Lavialation (inc. Durach Adduran Latert Action				
No	Client Department, address and Lead Officer)	Legislation (inc section) prosecution under	Breach Address	Latest Action	
1	Fortune Gumbo	s179 TCPA 1990	31 Siward Road N17	Warrant Case. No progress	
2	Myles Joyce	S179 TCPA 1990	646 Green Lanes	Hearing 1.2.12	
3	Fortune Gumbo	S179 TCPA 1990	60 St Pauls Road n17	Hearing 1.2.12	
4	Abby Oloyede	S179 TCPA 1990	143-5 Philip Lane	Prosecuted and Convicted £1250 Fine £902 costs	
5	Abby Oloyede	S179 TCPA 1990	2 Moorefield Road	Adj March 2012	
6	Myles Joyce	S179 TCPA 1990	19 Warham Road	Hearing 29.2.12	
7	Fortune Gumbo	S179 TCPA 1990	181 Tower Garden Road N17	Hearing march 2012	
8	Fortune Gumbo	s181 TCPA 1990	13 Bounds Green Road	Trial 1.3.12	

9	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	13 Whitley Road	Trial 25.1.12
10	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	216 West Green Road	Hearing 29.2.12
11	Fortune Gumbo	s179 TCPA 1990	38 Thackerary Avenue	Convicted and fined £15000 costs £645
12	Fortune Gumbo	s179 TCPA 1990	100 Myddleton Road	Prosecuted and Convicted
13	Fortune Gumbo	s179 TCPA 1990	25 Cumberton Road	Convicted and Fined £600 costs awarded
14	Fortune Gumbo	s179 TCPA 1990	22 Cumberton Road	Warrant Case
15	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	2 Goodwyns Vale	Found guilty. Case in Crown Court for Confiscation under Proceeds of Crime Act. Hearing end 2011.
16	Lorcan Lynch	s179 TCPA 1990	9 Heybourne Road	Pleaded of guilty. Case in Crown Court for Confiscation under Proceeds of Crime Act hearing Spring 2012

17	Lorcan Lynch	s179 TCPA 1990	1 Bruce Castle Road	Found guilty Case in Crown Court for Confiscation under Proceeds of Crime Act. Hearing Spring 2012
18	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	74 Umfreville Road	Prosecution bundle for 2 nd prosecution
19	Lorcan Lynch	s179 TCPA 1990	98 Hewitt Avenue	Already convicted
20	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	23 Hewitt Avenue	Convicted for 2 nd time £8000 fine £11617 costs
21	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	89 Burgoyne Road	Convicted 2 nd time £8000 fine
	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	180 Park Lane N17	Complied with
	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	22 Black Boy Lane	Caution Accepted October
	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	75 Hermitage Road	Caution Accepted Costs £2070 paid to Council for 5 and 6
	Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	11 Burgoyne Road N4	Prosecution withdrawn
	Lorcan Lynch	s179 TCPA 1990	8 Harringay Gardens	Compliance secured £2500 costs paid to Council

 Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	101 Lealand Road N15	Prosecuted and Convicted in Crown Court. Conditional discharge
Fortune Gumbo	s179 TCPA 1990	rear of 110-118 Myddleton Road	Cautioned £1480 costs paid
 Myles Joyce	s179 TCPA 1990	403 Lordship Lane	Prosecuted and Convicted £300 fine and £1500 costs. Notice complied with
 Lorcan Lynch	s179 TCPA 1990	22 Gladesmore Road	Notice complied with after conviction

